

Activation of the H–H bond by Ni₂-porphyrinate


Victor M. Mamaev,* Igor P. Gloriov and Andrew V. Prisyajnyuk

Department of Chemistry, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119899 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 095 932 8846; e-mail: vmam@nmr.chem.msu.su

The H–H bond is activated without any barrier by Ni₂-porphyrinate, based on calculations in terms of the reaction-path Hamiltonian approximation.

The creation of catalytic cycles for selective alkane functionalization is one of the most important chemical problems. The reactions leading to H–H, C–H and C–C bond breaking (*i.e.*, H–H, C–H and C–C bond activation) are the key processes in such catalytic cycles.^{1–4}

We have performed a theoretical study of H–H bond activation by bimetallic singlet coordinately unsaturated porphyrin complexes (Por–M₁–M₂, where M_{1,2} = Ni).



Presently, there is no practical evidence that such a complex exists.

We studied the reaction in terms of the reaction-path Hamiltonian approximation.⁵ The potential-energy surface (PES) was computed by the semiempirical CNDO/S² method that is aimed at an evaluation of the reaction PESs with participation of Pd and Ni atoms, Pd₂ and Ni₂ clusters, as well as their complexes.⁶

Three stationary points have been found on the PES of the activation. These are: precursor complex (PC), transition state (TS) and product (PR) (all the energies are given with respect to the separate reactants).


Data on the geometry structure (R , r , α) and the charges (q) on the atoms of the structures of the stationary points of the PESs are presented in Table 1.

Analysis of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hessian matrices showed that in the TS–PR range displacements of the atoms corresponding to the vector directed along the reaction path (RP) were determined mainly by variation in the only internal coordinate, namely, α (H–Ni–H). We have obtained a similar result for oxidative dihydrogen addition to the Pd atom.⁷


Thus, the catalytic activity of a Por–M₁–M₂ system is determined by the M₂ atom lying above the plane of the porphyrin.

We have found only a PC minimum for the Por–Pd–Pd + H₂ reaction. The other stationary points are absent.


In order to verify our results, we calculated the geometry structures of Por–Ni–Ni–H₂, Por–Pd–Pd–H₂ and Por–Ni–Pd–H₂ using the DFT program in ref. 8. These calculations confirmed our conclusions made earlier from the results of the CNDO/S² method, namely: the product Por–Ni–Ni–H₂ [r (Ni–H) = 1.45 Å,



PC, $E = -6.1 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$



TS, $E = -0.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$



PR, $E = -13.2 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$

$E = -15.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$] was found, while in the other two systems only molecular adsorption complexes were found to be formed [r (Pd–H) = 1.78 Å.

We think that complexes may exist in which the transition metal atoms would be as active as bare metal atoms (or, possibly, bare clusters), and such complexes would allow us to create real catalytic cycles.

This work was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (RFBR) (grant no. 96-03-32536a) and INTAS–RFBR (grant no. 95-0163).

References

- 1 R. James, *Homogeneous Hydrogenation*, Wiley, New York, 1973.
- 2 E. Shilov, *The Activation of Saturated Hydrocarbons by Transition Metal Complexes*, Riedel, Dordrecht, 1984.

Table 1 Geometry data and atomic charges of the structures of the stationary points of the PES of H–H bond activation by Ni₂-porphyrinate. R , r are the distances between the Ni–Ni and Ni–H atoms, α is the H–Ni–H angle and q are nuclear charges.

| Structure | $R/\text{Å}$ | $r/\text{Å}$ | $\alpha/^\circ$ | q_{Ni_1}/e | q_{Ni_2}/e | q_{H}/e |
|-----------|--------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| PC | 2.71 | 2.04 | 22 | 0.82 | 0.04 | 0.00 |
| TS | 2.98 | 1.50 | 39 | 0.82 | 0.02 | -0.02 |
| PR | 2.92 | 1.45 | 92 | 0.80 | 0.45 | -0.23 |

- 3 S. P. Daley, A. L. Utz, T. R. Trautman and S. T. Ceyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 6001.
- 4 M. L. Burke and R. J. Madix, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 1475.
- 5 W. H. Miller, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1983, **87**, 3811.
- 6 M. J. Filatov, O. V. Gritsenko and G. M. Zhidomirov, *J. Mol. Catal.*, 1989, **54**, 452.
- 7 V. M. Mamaev, I. P. Gloriov, V. A. Khmara, V. V. Orlov and Yu. A. Ustynyuk, *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk*, 1994, **338**, 65 [*Dokl. Chem. (Engl. Transl.)*, 1994, **338**, 171].
- 8 D. N. Laikov, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **281**, 151.

Received: Moscow, 25th November 1997

Cambridge, 8th January 1998; Com. 7/08940F