

Mechanism of benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 1-*N*-oxide formation

Aleksandr M. Churakov,^{*,a} Oleg Yu. Smirnov,^a Yurii A. Strelenko,^a Sema L. Ioffe,^a Vladimir A. Tartakovskii,^a Yurii T. Struchkov,^b Fedor M. Dolgushin^b and Aleksandr I. Yanovsky^b

^a N. D. Zelinsky Institute of Organic Chemistry, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117913 Moscow, Russian Federation.

Fax: +7 095 135 5328

^b A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 117813 Moscow, Russian Federation.

Fax: +7 095 135 5085

Benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 1-*N*-oxide formation involves the intramolecular cyclization of 2-(*tert*-butyl-*NNO*-azoxy)phenyldiazonium tetrafluoroborates and the N→N migration of the *tert*-butyl group to afford 2-(*tert*-butyl)benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazinium 4-*N*-oxides **3** followed by elimination of the *tert*-butyl group. Compounds **3** were hydrolysed to give a new heterocyclic system, *viz.* 2-alkyl-6-oxo-2,6-dihydrobenzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 4-*N*-oxides. For 2-(*tert*-butyl)-8-bromo-6-oxo-2,6-dihydrobenzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 4-*N*-oxide an X-ray diffraction study was carried out.

Recently it was disclosed¹ that aryl diazonium salts with a *tert*-butylazoxy group in the *ortho*-position afford benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 1-*N*-oxides, which arise from an intramolecular reaction. The present work was aimed at the investigation of the mechanism of this reaction.

Diazonium salts **1a,b** were prepared by the treatment of appropriate amines² with NOBF₄ at 0 °C. Their structure was confirmed by IR and NMR investigations.¹ In the solid state salts **1a,b** were rather stable at room temperature but in a short time cyclization occurred. In solution (acetonitrile, acetone) the reaction proceeded much faster. The cyclic structure of the new salts was established on the basis of the

disappearance of the absorption band of the diazonium group (2270–2300 cm⁻¹) in the IR spectra. A downfield ¹³C chemical shift of the tertiary carbon atom of the *tert*-butyl group (70 ppm) and its downfield ¹H chemical shift (2.0 ppm) in the NMR spectra also confirmed the cyclic structure.[†] However, these spectroscopic data alone did not allow us to choose between cyclic salts **2** and **3**.

In acetonitrile solutions containing H₂O, the elimination of the *tert*-butyl group from **3b** occurred to give benzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 1-*N*-oxide **5b** (quantitative yield based on ¹H NMR), *N*-*tert*-butyl acetamide (45% yield) and Bu^tOH (55% yield),¹ whereas in DMSO solutions containing water, nucleophilic displacement of the bromine atom took place to afford 2-(*tert*-butyl)-6-oxo-2,6-dihydrobenzo-1,2,3,4-tetrazine 4-*N*-oxides **4a,b**. NMR studies (¹H, ¹³C, ¹⁴N, ¹⁵N NMR) have shown that these compounds feature a new heterocyclic system, and so an X-ray diffraction study for **4b** was undertaken (Figure 1).[‡]

All non-hydrogen atoms of molecule **4b** (Figure 1) with the exception of the C(11) and C(11a) atoms of the *tert*-butyl occupy special positions on the crystallographic mirror plane.

[†] NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AM-300 spectrometer.

Spectral data for 1a (in [²H₆]acetone at 273 K): ¹H NMR δ 1.52 (9H, s, Bu^t), 8.52 (1H, dd, H-5), 8.86 (1H, d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, H-3), 8.87 (1H, d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, H-6); ¹³C NMR δ 25.08 (Me), 62.03 (CMe), 109.67 (C-1), 130.20 (C-3), 137.40 (C-6), 137.84 (C-5), 138.30 (C-4), 146.70 (C-2); ¹⁴N NMR δ -67 (Δ*v*_{1/2} = 100 Hz, N→O), -151 (Δ*v*_{1/2} = 250 Hz) (N₂⁺).


For **3a** (in [²H₆]acetone at 278 K): ¹H NMR δ 2.05 (9H, s, Bu^t), 8.95 (1H, d, ³*J* = 8.9 Hz, H-8), 8.97 (1H, dd, H-7), 9.09 (1H, dd, ⁴*J* = 1.6, ⁵*J* = 0.7 Hz, H-5); ¹³C NMR δ 28.85 (Me), 85.25 (CMe), 122.77 (C-5), 133.30 (C-8), 141.37 (C-6), 142.42 (C-8a), 146.06 (C-7); ¹⁴N NMR δ -51 (Δ*v*_{1/2} = 70 Hz); IR (KBr) *v*_{max}/cm⁻¹ 2290 (N₂⁺).

For **4a**: ¹H, ¹⁴N and ¹⁵N NMR in [²H₆]acetone; ¹H NMR δ 1.76 (9H, s, Bu^t), 6.65 (1H, d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, H-5), 7.05 (1H, dd, H-7), 7.74 (1H, d, *J* = 9.7 Hz, H-8); ¹³C NMR (in [²H₆]DMSO at 313 K) δ 28.20 (Me), 72.06 (CMe), 103.40 (C-5), 131.00 (C-8), 132.18 (C-4a), 134.50 (C-8a), 138.64 (C-7), 182.57 (C-6); ¹⁴N NMR δ -77 (Δ*v*_{1/2} = 70 Hz, N-4); ¹⁵N NMR (INEPT) δ -127.6 (N-2); IR (KBr) *v*_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1620 (C=O).

For **4b**: ¹H and ¹⁴N NMR in [²H₆]acetone, ¹³C and ¹⁵N NMR in [²H₆]DMSO; ¹H NMR δ 1.78 (9H, s, Bu^t), 6.64 (1H, d, *J* = 2.3 Hz, H-5), 7.42 (1H, d, H-7); ¹³C NMR δ 28.22 (Me), 72.78 (CMe), 103.05 (C-5), 126.60 (C-8), 132.43 (C-8a), 133.80 (C-4a), 140.80 (C-7), 180.53 (C-6); ¹⁴N NMR δ -74 (Δ*v*_{1/2} = 80 Hz, N-4); ¹⁵N NMR (INEPT) δ -40.8 (N-3), -60.3 (N-1), -74.6 (N-4), -127.0 (N-2); IR (KBr) *v*_{max}/cm⁻¹ 1605 (C=O).


Compounds **4a,b** gave satisfactory elemental analyses and MS.

[‡] *Crystal data for 4b*: C₁₀H₁₁N₄O₂Br, *M* = 299.1, orthorhombic, space group *P*₂*mm*, at 293 K *a* = 20.9259(3), *b* = 6.638(2), *c* = 8.556(2) Å, *V* = 1188.4(8) Å³, *Z* = 4, *d*_{calc} = 1.672 g cm⁻³, *F*(000) = 600. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction study was carried out with a Siemens P3/PC diffractometer (*T* = 293 K, graphite-monochromated Mo-*K*α radiation, λ = 0.71069 Å, θ/2θ scan technique, 2θ < 56°). The structure was solved by direct methods and refined in the anisotropic approximation by the SHELXTL PLUS (PC Version) program.³ Hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and refined in the riding model approximation with the common fixed *U*_{iso} = 0.08 Å². The empirical absorption correction [μ(MoKα) = 34.19 cm⁻¹] based on the azimuthal scans of 18 reflections was applied (*T*_{min} = 0.460). The final discrepancy factors are *R* = 0.0658, *R*_w = 0.0668 for 623 independent reflections with *I* ≥ 4σ(*I*). Atomic coordinates, bond lengths, bond angles and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. For details, see Notice to Authors, *Mendeleev Commun.*, 1996, issue 1.



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, for **a**: solid state, r.t., 7 days (68%); for **b**: solid state, 40 °C, 12 h (56%) or MeCN, r.t., 10 min (69%); ii, MeCN/20% DMSO/1% H₂O, 0 °C, 30 min, then neutralized with NaHCO₃ and chromatographed on SiO₂; **4a**, red crystals, mp 188–189 °C (decomp.), yield 27%, **4b**, red crystals, mp 168–169 °C (decomp.), yield 40%; iii, MeCN/2% H₂O, 10 °C, 1.5 h; **5b** isolated yield 70%, Bu^tOH yield 55% (based on ¹H NMR), AcNHBU^t yield 45% (based on ¹H NMR).

The planar environment of the N(2) atom implies a considerable contribution of the **4b'** and **4b''** resonance forms although the relatively low accuracy of the structure would not justify a detailed analysis of bond length distribution.



Taking into account the structural data for **4**, the cyclic salts were assigned the structure of **3** rather than of **2**. When




Figure 1 Molecular structure of **4b** (see Table 1).

Table 1 Selected bond lengths and bond angles in compound **4b**.

Bond	Bond length/Å	Angle	Bond angle/°
Br(8)—C(8)	1.87(2)	N(2)N(1)C(8a)	116.9(11)
N(2)—C(9)	1.52(2)	C(5)C(6)C(7)	115.6(17)
C(6)—C(7)	1.46(3)	N(1)N(2)N(3)	127.5(11)
O(4)—N(4)	1.26(2)	C(6)C(7)C(8)	127.3(15)
N(3)—N(4)	1.31(2)	N(1)N(2)C(9)	119.9(11)
C(7)—C(8)	1.32(2)	Br(8)C(8)C(7)	127.7(11)
O(6)—C(6)	1.21(2)	N(3)N(2)C(9)	112.6(11)
N(4)—C(4a)	1.44(2)	Br(8)C(8)C(8a)	115.4(10)
C(8)—C(8a)	1.49(2)	N(2)N(3)N(4)	116.5(11)
N(1)—N(2)	1.32(2)	C(7)C(8)C(8a)	116.9(14)
C(4a)—C(5)	1.34(2)	O(4)N(4)N(3)	118.5(12)
C(9)—C(10)	1.55(2)	N(1)C(8a)C(4a)	123.0(11)
N(1)—C(8a)	1.33(2)	O(4)N(4)C(4a)	117.1(12)
C(4a)—C(8a)	1.46(2)	N(1)C(8a)C(8)	121.9(13)
C(9)—C(11)	1.50(1)	N(3)N(4)C(4a)	124.4(12)
N(2)—N(3)	1.34(2)	C(4a)C(8a)C(8)	115.1(12)
C(5)—C(6)	1.42(2)	N(4)C(4a)C(5)	122.3(15)
		N(2)C(9)C(10)	109.0(10)
		N(4)C(4a)C(8a)	111.7(12)
		N(2)C(9)C(11)	107.2(8)
		C(5)C(4a)C(8a)	126.0(12)
		C(10)C(9)C(11)	111.1(8)
		C(4a)C(5)C(6)	119.0(17)
		O(6)C(6)C(5)	124.1(21)
		O(6)C(6)C(7)	120.3(16)

the conversion **1a**→**3a** was monitored by *in situ* NMR, ring **2a** was not observed, hence the rearrangement **2**→**3** is probably a very fast process. It should be noted that such a type of rearrangement was not observed previously. *A priori* this N→N migration can be accommodated by an elimination–addition mechanism or by a simultaneous mechanism with a three-centre two-electron transition state. We suppose the latter is more probable because the rearrangement occurs in the solid state and the former mechanism needs an effective solvation. The driving force of this process should be the higher thermodynamic stability of **3** as compared to that of **2**.

The research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project nos. 93-03-18461 and 94-03-08338) and in part by the International Science Foundation (grant no. MO4300).

References

- 1 A. M. Churakov, O. Yu. Smirnov, Yu. A. Strelenko, S. L. Ioffe, V. A. Tartakovsky, Yu. T. Struchkov, F. M. Dolgushin and A. I. Yanovsky, *Mendeleev Commun.*, 1994, 122.
- 2 A. M. Churakov, O. Yu. Smirnov, S. L. Ioffe, Yu. A. Strelenko and V. A. Tartakovsky, *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, 1994, 1620 (*Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 1994, **43**, 1532).
- 3 W. Robinson and G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX in: *Crystallographic Computing-Techniques and New Technologies*, eds. N. W. Isaacs and M. R. Taylor, Oxford University Press, 1988, p. 366.

Received: Moscow, 1st September 1995
Cambridge, 5th October 1995; Com. 5/05808B