

## **Mobility of $\text{Li}^+$ , $\text{Na}^+$ , and $\text{Cs}^+$ cations in Nafion membrane, as studied by NMR techniques**

**Nikita A. Slesarenko, Alexander V. Chernyak, Irina A. Avilova, Victor P. Tarasov and Vitaly I. Volkov**

### **Materials and methods**

Extruded N117 (thickness 183  $\mu\text{m}$ , equivalent weight ( $EW$ )=1100, Dupont, Ion Power Inc.) membranes were used for the experimental characterization of Nafion in salt ( $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{Cs}^+$ ) ionic form.

Diffusion coefficients were measured by pulsed field gradient technique of  $^7\text{Li}$ ,  $^{23}\text{Na}$ ,  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  nuclei at frequencies 155.51, 105.84, 52.48 MHz, respectively, on Bruker AVANCE-III-400 NMR spectrometer, equipped with the diff-60 gradient unit. The pulsed field gradient stimulated echo sequence was applied<sup>S1,S2</sup>.

The evolution of spin echo signal is described by the following equation:

$$A(g) = A(0) \exp(-\gamma^2 g^2 \delta^2 t_d D_s), \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma$  is nuclear gyromagnetic ratio,  $g$  is gradient pulse amplitude,  $\delta$  is gradient pulse duration,  $t_d = \Delta - \delta/3$  is the diffusion time,  $\Delta$  is an interval between gradient pulses and  $D_s$  is the diffusion coefficient. The gradient strength was varied linearly in 32 steps within a range from 0.1 to 27 T/m value. The integrated intensities of spectrum lines were used to obtain the dependence of echo signal attenuation on  $g^2$  (diffusion decay).

Experimental diffusion decays are well approximated by equation 1 in 2-3 orders of magnitudes, diffusion coefficient measurement error was less than 10%.

Spin-lattice  $T_1$  and spin-spin  $T_2$  nuclear relaxation times were measured using  $180^\circ\text{-}\tau\text{-}90^\circ$  and Carr-Purcell-Meiboom-Gill ( $90^\circ\text{-}\tau\text{-}n180^\circ$ ) pulsed sequences, correspondingly. Longitudinal magnetization  $M_z$  recovery and transverse magnetization  $M_{x,y}$  decay were approximated by exponential dependences for  $^7\text{Li}$ ,  $^{23}\text{Na}$ ,  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  nuclei.

NMR spectra of  $^7\text{Li}$ ,  $^{23}\text{Na}$  and  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  nuclei belong to  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$  and  $\text{Cs}^+$  cations are singlet lines, which width is rather narrow even at temperature below 0°C that indicates the high cation mobility at low temperature.

The nuclear spins of  $^7\text{Li}$ ,  $^{23}\text{Na}$  are 3/2, but  $^{133}\text{Cs}$  nuclear spin is 7/2. For these nuclei the main relaxation mechanism is quadrupole relaxation. For nuclear spin is 3/2:

$$\frac{(M_z - M_0)}{M(\cos\theta - 1)} = \frac{1}{5} \exp(-2J_1 t) + \frac{4}{5} \exp(-2J_2 t), \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{M_{x,y}}{M_0} \sin\theta = \frac{3}{5} [-(J_0 + J_1)t] + \frac{2}{5} [-(J_1 + J_2)t], \quad (3)$$

where  $\omega$  – NMR frequency;  $\Theta$  – a rotation angle of equilibrium magnetization  $M_0$  during radio frequency pulse;  $J(\lambda\omega)$  – spectral densities on the frequencies  $\lambda\omega$  ( $\lambda=0, 1, 2$ ).

$$J_\lambda = 0.1 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot \chi^2 \cdot J(\lambda\omega), \quad (4)$$

$$\chi = eQ \cdot \frac{eq}{h}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\tau$  – correlation time;  $E_a$  – activation energy.

Equations (2), (3) are exponential at short correlation time if  $(\omega\tau)^2 \ll 1$ . Magnetization kinetic curves are exponential at high temperature. With temperature decreasing magnetization  $1 \leq (\omega\tau)^2$  and kinetic curves should be two exponential shape, but it may be not success to observe two exponential curves experimentally especially for longitude magnetization recovery.

## References

- S1. V. I. Volkov, A. V. Chernyak, N. A. Slesarenko and I. A. Avilova, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **23**, 5011.
- S2. V. I. Volkov, A. V. Chernyak, O. I. Gnedilov and V. D. Skirda, *Solid State Ion.*, 2021, **364**, 115627.
- S3. I. A. Nesterov, V. I. Volkov, K. K. Pukhov and S. F. Timashev, *Russ. J. Chem. Phys.*, 1990, **91**, 155 (in Russian).
- S4. B. Halle, D. Bratko and L. Piculell, *Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem.*, 1985, **89**, 1254.
- S5. B. Halle, H. Wennerström and L. Piculell, *J. Phys. Chem.* 1984, **88**, 2482.
- S6. R. H. Tromp, J. R. C. van der Maarel, J. de Bleijser and J.C. Leyte, *Biophys. Chem.*, 1991, **41**, 81.
- S7. U. Böhme, B. Hänel and U. Scheler, in *Prog. Colloid Polym. Sci.*, eds. V. Starov, K. Prochazka, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010, vol. 138, pp. 45-49.
- S8. V. I. Volkov, N. A. Slesarenko, A. V. Chernyak, V. A. Zabrodin, D. V. Golubenko, V. A Tverskoy and A. B. Yaroslavtsev, *Membr. Membr. Technol.*, 2022, **4**, 189 (*Membr. Membr. Tekhnol.*, 2022, **3**, 214).